Table IV. Pseudo-First-Order Rate Constants of ZnCl₂-Catalyzed Reactions of 1 with 2 in Dichloromethane (-70 °C) in the Presence of Different Donors^a

donor	$k_{1\psi}, { m s}^{-1}$	k _{rel}
diethyl ether	1.02×10^{-3}	1.00
ethyl acetate	2.99×10^{-4}	0.29
acetone	2.49×10^{-5}	0.024
tetrahydrofuran	1.17×10^{-6}	0.0011
tetrahydropyran ^b	$4 \times 10^{-6} - 4 \times 10^{-7}$	$\sim 10^{-4}$
dimethyl sulfoxide	no reaction	
pyridine	no reaction	

^a7.34 mmol of ZnCl₂ (0.103 mol/L), 18.9 mmol of donor, 2.47 mmol of 1 and 25.0 mmol of 2. ^b No first-order kinetics.

Other Donor Solvents. Some other $ZnCl_2$ donor complexes which are soluble in CH_2Cl_2 have been examined with respect to their catalytic activity. At a do $nor/ZnCl_2$ ratio of 2.57, the ether complex exhibited the greatest relative catalytic activity (Table IV). The Me₂SO and pyridine complexes are so stable that no reaction was observed at -70 °C.

Conclusion. Addition of ether increases the solubility of ZnCl₂ in dichloromethane and thus enhances the catalytic activity of the Lewis acid. In systems with $[Et_2O]/$ $[ZnCl_2] \approx 0.5$ the reactivity is 10^3-10^4 times higher than in ether free systems. Reactions, which take more than 6 weeks in ZnCl₂-CH₂Cl₂ systems, are complete within 1 h if 0.5 equiv of ether are added! More ether reduces the catalytic activity of ZnCl₂ because of complexation. The little sensitivity of the ZnCl2-Et2O system toward moisture facilitates its synthetic applications. Since a zeroth reaction order of $[ZnCl_2]$ is found at $[Et_2O]/[ZnCl_2] = 2.5-4.0$ over a wide range of concentrations, an increase of the ZnCl₂-Et₂O quantity is usually not helpful to accelerate a reaction.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support of this work.

Registry No. 1, 2051-90-3; 2, 763-29-1; 3, 96761-10-3; ZnCl₂, 7646-85-7.

Notes

Concerning the Mechanism of Isomerization of cis-Azoalkanes

R. van Eldik,*^{1a} H. Kelm,^{1a} M. Schmittel,^{1b} and C. Rüchardt^{1b}

Institute for Physical Chemistry, University of Frankfurt, 6000 Frankfurt am Main, and Chemical Laboratory, University of Freiburg, 7800 Freiburg, Federal Republic of Germany

Received November 23, 1984

The mechanism of thermal decomposition of azoalkanes has been the subject of many investigations in recent years. Much of the discussion has focused on the unresolved question of whether a synchronous, two-bond cleavage process (k_s) or a two-step, one-bond cleavage process via short-lived diazenyl radicals $(k_{\rm f} < k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d} > k_{\rm r})$ is operative (Scheme I).^{2,3} Neuman and co-workers^{4,5} have employed high pressure techniques to determine the volumes of activation for such processes and consider the latter to be a good mechanistic discriminator. They^{4,5} found typical values of between +12 and +20 cm³ mol⁻¹ for a two-step radical formation mechanism, compared to values of between +2 and +5 cm³ mol⁻¹ for synchronous two-bond scission processes. Preliminary investigations in our laboratories⁶ on the pressure dependence of the thermal deazatization reactions of a series of trans-azoalkanes resulted in volumes of activation which fitted well into the two categories mentioned above, viz., $C_6H_5N=NC(CN)_2$ -



 CH_3 , $\Delta V^* = +11.9 \pm 0.8$; $C_6H_5C(CH_3)(C_2H_5)N=NC(C-1)$ $H_3(C_2H_5)CH_3$, $\Delta V = +12.8 \pm 2.3$; NCC(CH₃)(C₂H₅)N= NC(CH₃)(C₂H₅)CN, $\Delta V^{*} = +2.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Recently, the possible participation of cis-azoalkanes during the deazatization of trans-azoalkanes has been suggested.⁷⁻¹⁰ Theoretical MNDO calculations¹¹ on the thermal decomposition of cis- and trans-azoethane and 1,1-diethyldiazene not only suggest that the step-wise mechanism is preferred to the synchronous two-bond cleavage process but also indicate that the cis transition state is common to both the cis and trans isomers, i.e., transazoethane first isomerizes and then looses N_2 .

Similarly the mechanism by which cis-azoalkanes isomerize thermally is a subject of great controversy.¹² Various

^{(1) (}a) University of Frankfurt. (b) University of Freiburg.

⁽²⁾ For an excellent review, see: Engel, P. S. Chem. Rev. 1980, 88, 99. (3) Engel, P. S.; Gerth, D. B. J Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6849.
(4) Neuman, R. C.; Binegar, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 134

and references cited therein.
 (5) Neuman, R. C.; Lockyer, G. D., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3982.

⁽⁶⁾ Palmer, D. A.; Kelm, H.; Lang, A.; Rüchardt, C., unpublished results. See: Lang, A. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Freiburg, 1979.

⁽⁷⁾ Schmittel, M.; Schulz,; A. Rüchardt, C.; Hädicke, E. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 3533.

 ⁽⁸⁾ Seeman, J. I.; Farone, W. A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1854.
 (9) Seeman, J. I. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 84.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Flamm, M.; Beckhaus, H.-D.; Rüchardt, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982. 23. 1805.

⁽¹¹⁾ Dannenberg, J. J.; Rocklin, D. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4529. The authors postulate a hardly acceptable activation barrier of 10 kcal mol⁻¹ for the recombination of the radical pair.

experiments and theoretical arguments^{7,11-14} have been presented in favor of inversion of one R group through a nitrogen atom (i.e., semilinearization) rather than the alternative rotation about the N=N bond. In addition, Neuman et al.⁴ added a further dimension to this problem by claiming that both the thermal deazatization and isomerization reactions of cis-azo-1-adamantane and cisazo-1-methylcyclopentane proceed via intermediate diazenyl radicals, i.e., a two-step process. They⁴ based their claim on volume of activation data and drew the general conclusion that in systems where diazenyl radicals are formed from cis-azoalkanes, which live long enough to recombine, these serve as isomerization intermediates.

We question the suggestion that isomerization reactions of symmetrical cis-azoalkanes proceed via intermediate diazenyl radicals. It is in this context that the chemistry of cis-azonorbornane is of particular interest.⁷ The cis isomer is converted quantitatively to the trans isomer on heating at 80 to 100 °C and no traces of radical side products are observed.^{7,15} Therefore, the formation and recombination of a 1-norbornyl diazenyl-1-norbornył radical pair⁴ can safely be excluded as mechanism for this isomerization process.¹⁶ This conclusion is supported by our unsuccessful attempts to detect radical intermediates by ESR spectroscopy, CIDNP and spin-trapping experiments, and the independence of the observed rate constant on the viscosity of the medium.^{18,19} We have measured the pressure dependence of the cis to trans isomerization of azonorbornane, and the results are summarized in Table I. A plot of $\ln k_{obsd}$ vs. pressure is linear within the experimental error limits and the corresponding volume of activation is $+6.1 \pm 0.2$ cm³ mol⁻¹ at 85.0 °C. We have also determined the apparent molar volumes of both species using an Anton Paar DMA 02 digital densimeter thermostated at 25.00 ± 0.002 °C. These are 188.0 ± 1.5 and $199.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ for *cis*- and *trans*-azonobornane in heptane, respectively.²⁰ It follows that there is a significant increase in volume in going from the cis to the trans

(16) Porter, N. A.; Dubay, G. R.; Green, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 920. k_{β} for 1-norbornyldiazenyl radicals is $\geq 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, k_{β} for 1-

100, 920. κ_{β} for 1-norportryidiazenyi radicals is 210° s⁻, κ_{β} for 1-phenyldiazenyi is reported elsewhere.¹⁷ (17) Suehiro, T. Yaki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 1978, 36, 381; Chem. Abstr. 1978, 89, 89778. Suehiro, T.; Nakausa, R.; Masuda, S.; Kobayashi, M.; Date, M. Chem. Lett. 1982, 1191. Suehiro, T.; Tashiro, T.; Nakausa, R. Chem. Lett. 1980, 1339.

(20) A range of concentrations (2 to 8 mM) was studied for each species and the apparent molar volumes were averaged since they showed no significant concentration dependence.

Table I. Values of k_{obst} as a Function of Pressure for the Cis to Trans Isomerization of Azonorbornane^a

pressure, bar	$\frac{10^4 k_{\text{obsd}}^{b,b}}{\mathrm{s}^{-1}}$
50	1.74 ± 0.07 °
500	1.62 ± 0.07
100	1.43 ± 0.09
1500	1.31 ± 0.05
2000	1.17 ± 0.06

^aTemperature = 85.0 °C, solvent = heptane + 1% DABCO, [azonorbornane] = 6.4×10^{-3} M. ^bMean value of at least four kinetic runs performed in a Teflon holder within a high pressure cell. Samples were withdrawn with the aid of a sampling valve and analyzed spectrophotometrically at 424 nm. 'In good agreement with kinetic data obtained under similar conditions at ambient pressure.7

species and the magnitude of ΔV^* tentatively suggests that the transition state lies approximately halfway along the reaction coordinate. However, such a direct correlation is complicated by the large difference in temperature for the two sets of data, viz., 85 °C compared to 25 °C. Nevertheless, the trend in the volume data is of significant importance.

The present results are in good agreement with the earlier suggested semilinearization mechanism⁷ in terms of which the isomerization process is accompanied by a volume increase along the reaction coordinate. It is difficult at this stage to assign this volume increase to intrinsic changes only, and a solvent-dependence study of both ΔV^* and ΔV is required to determine the solvational contribution. The results of the earlier performed solvent dependence study at ambient pressure⁷ suggest rather small solvent effects, such that intrinsic volume changes may well be the prevailing contribution for the isomerization of cis-azonorbornane. In this respect it is important to note that Neuman et al.⁴ reported the same volume of activation for the isomerization of cis-azo-1-adamantane in hexane and ethanol. On the other hand, solvational changes due to changes in the dipole moment of the molecule could for instance partly account for the slightly negative volumes of activation reported by Asano and co-workers^{13,14} for a series of isomerization reactions, which were all suggested to proceed via an inversion mechanism. In a recent study these workers²¹ reported large negative activation volumes for the isomerization of azobenzenes in polar solvents and explain these by the intervention of rotational isomerization.

It is, however, important to note that the volume of activation for the isomerization of cis-azonorbornane is very close to that found by Neuman and Binegar⁴ for the isomerization of cis-azo-1-adamantane via the suggested radical pair mechanism. This would suggest that an inversion (semilinearization) mechanism could also be operative in the case of *cis*-azo-1-adamantane and that it is unnecessary to invoke a radical-pair mechanism on the basis of the volume of activation data.⁴ This discrepancy is of fundamental importance and calls for a more detailed discussion.

Neuman et al.⁴ base their conclusions on the fact that the volume of activation for cis to trans isomerization via a radical-pair mechanism, $\Delta V^*(k_i)$, is expected to be significantly smaller than the volume of activation for deazatization, $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm No})$, and should be comparable to $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm fc})$. However, we do not prefer to treat the pressure dependence data according to their⁴ approach, since it involves the pressure dependence of the term

⁽¹²⁾ Chae, W.-K.; Baughman, S. A.; Engel, P. S.; Bruch, M.; Ozmeral, C.; Szilagyi, S.; Timberlake, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4824 and references cited therein.

<sup>reserences cited therein.
(13) Asano, T.; Okada, T.; Shinkai, S.; Shigematsu, K.; Kusano, Y.;
Manabe, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5161.
(14) Asano, T.; Yano, T.; Okada, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4900.
(15) Adam, W.; Mazenod, F.; Nishizawa, Y.; Engel, P. S.; Baughman,
S. A.; Chae, W.-K.; Hosey, D. W.; Quant, H.; Seiferling, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6141.</sup>

⁽¹⁸⁾ When the isomerization of cis-1-azonorbornane was conducted in mesitylene with or without added 9,10-dihydroanthracene at 110-130 °C no norbornane product (detection limit 0.1%) was detectable by capillary gas chromatography. This is in agreement with reports in the litera ture.^{12,15} When the isomerization was performed in the cavity of an ESR spectrometer in *tert*-butylbenzene between 364 K and 384 K, no signal was observed. In CIDNP experiments at 110 °C in pyridine- d_5 , no enhanced absorption or emission signals were detected. In spin-trapping experiments with nitrosobenzene and N-tert-butyl phenyl nitrone between 330 K and 370 K, no signals which could be assigned to spin adducts of the 1-norbornyl radical were detected and no significant dif-ferences to the blank experiments were observed. The observed rate constant remained constant within the experimental error limits when the viscosity of the medium was increased approximately 5 times in going from heptane to hexadecane as solvent. The importance of cage effects can be demonstrated by such data in case diffusion (i.e., k_d) plays a significant role.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Kaiser, J.; Schmittel, M.; Limbach, H.-H.; Ruchardt, C., unpublished results.

⁽²¹⁾ Asano, T.; Okada, T. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4387.

$$\ln\left(1+\frac{k_{\rm rc}}{k_{\rm rt}}+\frac{k_{\rm N_2}}{k_{\rm i}}\right)$$

which they assumed to be small. Alternatively, it follows from the suggested mechanism⁴ (included in Scheme I) that

$$k_{\rm N_2}/k_{\rm i} = (k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d})/k_{\rm rt}$$
 (1)

and

$$\Delta V^{*}(k_{\rm N_{2}}) - \Delta V^{*}(k_{\rm i}) = \Delta V^{*}(k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d}) - \Delta V^{*}(k_{\rm rt}) \quad (2)$$

The pressure dependencies of k_{β} , $k_{\rm d}$, and $k_{\rm rt}$ will therefore determine the value of $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm N_2}) - \Delta V^*(k_{\rm i})$ and the validity of the suggested mechanism. If $k_{\rm d} \gg k_{\beta}$, then $\Delta V^*(k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d}) \sim \Delta V^*(k_{\rm d})$ and could have a value as large as +18 cm³ mol⁻¹ for a solvent like hexane, since $k_{\rm d}$ is inversely proportional to the viscosity of the solvent.⁴ On the other hand, if $k_{\beta} \gg k_{\rm d}$ then $\Delta V^*(k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d}) \sim \Delta V^*(k_{\beta})$, and we expect this to be very close to $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm fc})$ due to the similar nature of the reactions involved. A reasonable estimate for $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm fc})$ is +5 cm³ mol⁻¹,⁴ such that $\Delta V^*(k_{\beta} + k_{\rm d})$ could have a value between +5 and +18 cm³ mol⁻¹.

The value of $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm rt})$ strongly depends on the partial molar volumes of the cis and trans species, i.e., $\bar{V}_{\rm c}$ and $\bar{V}_{\rm t}$, respectively, as well as the location of the transition state for this process. Considering the mechanism in Scheme I, it follows that $\Delta \overline{V} = \overline{V}_t - \overline{V}_c = \Delta V^*(k_{fc}) - \Delta V^*(k_{ft}) = O^{22}$ when $\overline{V}_t = \overline{V}_c$. Under such conditions $\Delta V^*(k_{rt})$ must be close to zero. Alternatively, $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm rt}) \sim -\Delta V^*(k_{\rm fc}) = -5 \ {\rm cm}^3$ mol⁻¹ when both processes (denoted by $k_{\rm rt}$ and $k_{\rm fc}$) have late (product-like) transition states. However, in this study we clearly indicated that \bar{V}_t and \bar{V}_c are not necessarily equal and $\Delta \overline{V}$ could have a value between 10 and 12 cm³ mol⁻¹. This brings about that $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm rt})$ could have values between zero and $+7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ under the limiting conditions outlined above. It follows that $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm rt})$ can vary between -5 and +7 cm³ mol⁻¹ depending on the nature of the transition state and the partial molar volumes of the reactant/product species.

Substitution of these limiting values for $\Delta V^*(k_{\beta} + k_{d})$ and $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm rl})$ in eq 2, indicates that $\Delta V^*(k_{\rm N_2}) - \Delta V^*(k_{\rm i})$ can have values between zero and +23 cm³ mol⁻¹ for the suggested radical-pair mechanism. The experimental difference⁴ of +12 cm³ mol⁻¹ is therefore no proof for the suggested radical-pair mechanism. On the other hand, we cannot rule out this mechanism on the basis of volume of activation data alone. Our present investigation clearly demonstrated that a very similar $\Delta V^*(k_i)$ value can be obtained for systems where radical-pair formation can be ruled out. It follows, as mentioned before, that it is unnecessary to invoke a radical-pair mechanism to account for the volume of activation data reportd before⁴ and that a semilinearization mechanism can describe the process equally well. Furthermore, the observed volume of activation for the isomerization of *cis*-azonorbornane is in good agreement with the observed overall volume change, which encourages us to extent our arguments to the systems studied by Neuman et al.⁴

A recent paper³ reported that only a very small fraction of adamantyldiazenyl radicals recombine at the primary end of the dimethylallyl radical. In the diazenylalkyl mechanism obtained for azoadamantane,⁴ the observed 57% N₂ yield requires that 43% of the adamantyldiazenyladamantyl radical pairs recombine to give *trans*- azoadamantane. This large difference between the adamantyl and dimethylallyl systems favors the more likely explanation that isomerization proceeds overwhelmingly by inversion while loss of nitrogen goes via diazenyl radicals.²³

We do not consider the investigated system to be atypical. The fact that the isomerization of azonorbornane occurs at a significantly higher temperature than the other studied systems⁴ does not necessarily suggest a change in mechanism. The high reaction temperature results from the low heat of isomerization for this compound, viz., 17.1 compared to 28.4 and 28.2 kcal mol⁻¹ for *cis*-1-azoadamantane and *cis*-1-azobicyclo[2.2.2]octane, respectively.²⁴ Furthermore, it is known from the literature that the relative rates of radical formation decrease in the order 1-adamantyl > 1-bicyclo[2.2.2]octyl > 1-norbornyl.²⁵

It follows from this study that ΔV^* measurements alone cannot unequivocally pinpoint a mechanism in these systems. Combining such data with partial molar volume data for reactant and product species enables volume equation calculations and the construction of reaction volume profiles which assist the mechanistic assignment. The latter techniques have been applied with significant success in the elucidation of inorganic reaction mechanisms in recent years.²⁶

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Registry No. cis-Azodi-1-norbornane, 59388-64-6.

Iaboratories support these data. (25) Golzke, V.; Groeger, F.; Oberlinner, A.; Rüchardt, C. Nouv. J. Chim. 1978, 2, 169. Lomas, J. S.; Dubois, J.-E. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4505.

(26) van Eldik, R.; Kelm, H. Rev. Phys. Chem. Jpn. 1980, 50, 185.
Palmer, D. A.; Kelm, H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1981, 36, 89. van Eldik, R.;
Palmer, D. A.; Kelm, H.; Louw, W. J. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 3551. Doss,
R.; van Eldik, R. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 4108. Kitamura, Y.; van Eldik,
R.; Kelm, H. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2038.

Deformation Density and Dissociation Energy of Strained C-C Bonds

Ljubica Vujisić* and Dragan Lj. Vučković

The Boris Kidrič Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Department of Chemistry, 11001 Beograd, Yugoslavia

Received December 28, 1984

In a covalent bond the shared density binds the nuclei. Thus, the amount of deformation density determines, in some way, the bond strength. Bader et al.¹ have shown that there is a direct relationship between chemical bond strength (dissociation energy) and the magnitude of electrostatic field due to charge redistribution. They have shown that in diatomic homonuclear molecules the plot of the overlap and atomic contributions multiplied by $(1/R_e^2)$ vs. the dissociation energy is described by a linear relationship.

In the deformation density calculated by using IMO (iterative maximum overlap) hybrid functions, the pre-

⁽²²⁾ In this treatment it is assumed that the partial molar volume of the radical pair is close to that of the common transition state for both processes.

 $[\]left(23\right)$ We are grateful to Prof. P. S. Engel for calling our attention to this fact.

⁽²⁴⁾ The isomerization enthalpies were calculated by using the MM1 force field method as extended to azo compounds by Crans and Snyder (*Chem. Ber.* 1980, 113, 1201). Preliminary experimental results in our laboratories support these data.

⁽¹⁾ Bader, R. F.; Henneker, W. H.; Cade, P. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1967, 46, 3341.